Matrix Completion comes from the Netflix Prize '07-'09 Given ratings of movies by people (person, movie, score) Try to predict ratings by those people on other movies.

Need to assume matrix has some structure, like approximately low rank (low-rank + noise).

Matrix Completion problem: given main matrix  $M_1$   $SL \in \{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$  and an integer  $\tau$ min  $\sum (M(i,j) - X(i,j))^2$  site  $Tark(X) \in \tau$ .  $X \quad (i,i) \in S2$ or, given 4 > 0, min Tark(X) site  $\|M - X\|_{S2}^2 \leq E$ X

So, replace 
$$\operatorname{Tank}(X)$$
 with something convex.  
If  $\sigma_{ini}, \sigma_{m}$  are singular values of  $X_{i}$ ,  
 $\operatorname{tank}(X) = ||(\sigma_{ini}, \sigma_{m})||_{0}$   
So, by analogy with  $L_{i}$ , use the nuclear norm of  $X$   
 $||X||_{X} = ||(\sigma_{ini}, \sigma_{m})||_{1} = \frac{7}{5}\sigma_{i}$  (Fazel)  
(ontrast with  $||X||_{F} = ||(\sigma_{ini}, \sigma_{m})||_{2} = (\frac{7}{5}\sigma_{i})^{1/2}$   
 $||X||_{2} = \max \sigma_{i}$  vector  
 $||\cdot||_{0}$  matrix  
 $\operatorname{tank}_{i}$   
Exact recovery:  
 $||X||_{X}$  st.  $||M-X||_{52} = O$   $||\cdot||_{2}$   $||\cdot||_{2}$   
 $||\cdot||_{4}$   $||\cdot||_{4}$ 

Approximate recovery:  $\min_{X} ||X||_{X} = \varepsilon$ 

Work well when 
$$\Omega$$
 is random (big assumption)  
X is nice: no big entries,  
singular vectors incoherent.  
If M is low rank, can actually find M.

The nuclear norm (also called trace norm)  
Is the dual of the greater norm, 
$$\|X\|_2$$
.  
Thm  $\|X\|_{4} = \max_{\substack{V \in V \\ |V||_2 = 1}} \operatorname{Tr}(X^TY) = \sum_{\substack{(i,i) \\ (i,i)}} X(i,j) Y(i,j)$   
proof Let  $X = USV^T$  be the SUD of  $X$ .  
If  $Y = UV^T$   
 $\operatorname{Tr}(X^TY) = \operatorname{Tr}(VSU^TUV^T) = \operatorname{Tr}(VSU^T)$   
 $= \operatorname{Tr}(S)^T$   
 $= \operatorname{Tr}(S)$   
 $= \overline{2}\sigma_i$   
If  $Y = \hat{U}\hat{V}^T$ , where  $\hat{U}, \hat{V}$  are orthogonal,  
 $\operatorname{Tr}(X^TY) = \operatorname{Tr}(VSU^T\hat{U}^T)$   
 $= \operatorname{Tr}(SQ)$  where  $\hat{Q}$  is orthogonal  
 $= \sum_{i} \sigma_i \hat{Q}(i,i) \in \sum_{i} \sigma_i$   
 $\operatorname{as} Q(i,i) \in 1$  for all  $i$ .

Now we know  $\|X\|_*$  is convex

$$\| X\|_{X} = \min \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{Tr}(\omega_{1}) + \operatorname{Tr}(\omega_{2}))$$
  
$$\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$$
  
$$s \neq \cdot \quad \left( \begin{array}{c} \omega_{1} & X^{T} \\ X & \omega_{2} \end{array} \right) > O$$

So, can minimize nuclear norms using semidefinite programming.

How hard are NP-hard problems? For any, time less than 2" would be shocking For most, time = 2, any & would be surprising Circuit SAT: time & 2<sup>(1-2)n</sup> unlikely. Strong Exponential time hypothesis (SETH) => lower bounds for many problems in P. Are other notions of hard, from very hard - uncomputable, to pretty hard - Unique Granes Conj. When we can solve NP-hard problems, they are probably special: tandom structured structure + noise (smoothed analysis) well conditioned other nice properties

Probably Certificably Correct - Bandeira Rondom problems that can usually solve <u>and</u> certify optimality.

Ex. relax, and certify by computing solution to dual of relaxation

$$\max \quad \epsilon \quad \max \quad \epsilon \quad dual \quad of \quad flat \\ \underbrace{\underbrace{}_{2\pm1,7}^{n}}_{Can \text{ provide centificate}}$$

See: Bubeck's monograph, Convex Optimization: Algorithms and Complexity, or Lectures on Modern Convex Optimization by Ben-Tal and Nemirovski

Consider an optimization algorithm that minimizes f Only Lesing · evaluations of f · a few properties of f, lite convexity.

Can prove lower bounds on the # of calls necessary

Can even allow evaluations of gradients of f  
(or sub-gradients: 
$$f(y) \ge f(x) + g_{x}^{T}(y-x)$$
,  $H_{y}$ )

For example, consider minimizing convex Euclions  
over 
$$B(0,1) = \{x : \|x\|_{E} \in 1\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$$

Are many results lite this for many function classes.

These are hearistics people use to try to minimize or maximize functions.

I use them to find counter-examples.  
For example, here's a conjecture:  
Conj For all orthogonal matrices Q  

$$\exists x \in \{\pm 1\}^n$$
 such that  $\|Q x\|_{\infty} \leq 2$ .  
It's false. I know because I found a Q.  
(might be true with 2.1)

Order so that 
$$f(x_i) \in f(x_2) \leq \cdots \leq f(x_{n+i})$$
  
X\_{n+1} is worst, so try to improve it by  
moving on Line through controid =  $\frac{1}{n+i} = \frac{1}{i} x_i$ 



Conclusions of unsatisficability are trey technology in program verification and secure technols.

SOS (Sums of Squares) Hot topic  
Any polynomial inequality lite 
$$f(\bar{x}) = 0$$
  
has a proof  $h(x)^2 + f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(x)^2$   
where  $h$  and  $g_i$  are polynomials.  
 $E_{\underline{x}} = \frac{x^2 + y^2 - 2xy}{x^2 + y^2 - 2xy} = (x - y)^2$   
Find them by Semidefinite Programming.

Given vectors 
$$x_{1,...,x_n}$$
 and labels  $y_{1,...,y_n}$   
try to choose parameters  $\Theta$  (e.g. weights in newal net)  
to minimize  $F(\Theta) = \sum_{i} [f_{\Theta}(x_i) - y_i]^2$   
for  $F(\Theta) = \sum_{i} l(f_{\Theta}(x_i), y_i)$  some loss function  $l$ .  
Often use gradient - based methods to find  
local minima.  
Need to escope soddle points!  
Many theorems for special problems  
Improvements in Black-Box algorithms, assuming more.  
But, are often many local minima,  
different algorithms produce different  $\Theta$ .

O MATTERS MORE THAN F(0)