

# RANDOMIZED ALGORITHMS IN LINEAR ALGEBRA

$A$  matrix. Can be read from external memory, but too large to be stored in RAM; too large to do Linear Algebra on  $A$ .

What can be say about the whole of  $A$  from a random sample of rows / columns / entries of  $A$  ?

## Uniform Sampling

Each entry is equally likely to be picked. One advantage is that “coins” can be tossed “blindly” - before  $A$  is read and the picked rows / columns / entries drawn in one “pass” through  $A$ .

Many recent results on what we can do with Uni. Sampling.

Interestingly, many of these results have been proved independently by two approaches - Combinatorial and Linear Algebraic.

**Example - Maximum Cuts in graphs** : Given  $n \times n$  symmetric  $A$ , with  $|A_{ij}| \leq 1$ , find  $n$ -vector attaining (the maximum of a quadratic form) :

$$f(A) = \text{Max}_{x: x_i \in \{-1, 1\}} (\mathbf{1} + x)^T A (\mathbf{1} - x).$$

**THEOREM** If  $B$  is a  $q \times q$  matrix formed by picking uniformly at random  $q$  rows (and same columns) of  $A$ , then with probability at least 99/100, we have

$$\left| f(A) - \frac{n^2}{q^2} f(B) \right| \leq \frac{100 \log q}{q^{1/4}} n^2.$$

$$\text{Max}_{x, y: x_i, y_i \in \{-1, 1\}} x^T A y = \|A\|_{\infty \rightarrow 1}.$$

**THEOREM**  $A, B$  as above. With probability 99/100,

$$\left| \|A\|_{\infty \rightarrow 1} - \frac{n^2}{q^2} \|B\|_{\infty \rightarrow 1} \right| \leq \frac{100 \log q}{q^{1/4}} n^2.$$

**Easy** :  $\|B\| \geq (***) \|A\|$ . **Harder** :  $\|B\| \leq (***) \|A\|$ .

## Literature

Max Cut is a special case of a “Constraint Satisfaction Problem” (CSP) with “arity” equal to 2.

[Arora, Karger, Karpinski](#) (1995) : In poly time, we can approximate CSP of arity  $r$  to **additive error**  $\epsilon n^r$ .

[Goldreich, Goldwasser, Ron](#) (1996) Detailed analysis of many arity 2 problems using combinatorial approach. Area has come to be known as [Property Testing](#).

[Frieze, Kannan](#) (1996) Poly time algorithms for all CSP problems (any fixed arity  $r$ ) achieving additive error  $\epsilon n^r$  using Linear Algebra methods to approximate resulting matrices  $A$  by [low-rank](#) matrices.

For arity  $r > 2$ , we get  $r$ -dimensional arrays which are approximated by the sum of a small number of outer-products of vectors (analog to low-rank) to obtain solutions.

The two theorems above are special cases of Theorems (for arbitrary arity) proved in : [Alon, de la Vega, Kannan, Karpinski \(2002\)](#).

The second theorem has been strengthened by [Rudelson and Vershynin](#) using some recent techniques of Bourgain and Tzafriri.

A purely combinatorial approach has independently achieved the same algorithmic ends (for CSP 's) as our paper : [Andersson and Engbretsen \(2002\)](#).

## Use of non-uniform Sampling

Given an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$ , can we find an approximation  $A'$  to  $A$  (also an  $m \times n$  matrix) so that

(i)  $A'$  is storable in much less space than  $A$ .

(ii)  $A'$  can be found from  $A$  in few passes of  $A$  from external memory.

Yes; indeed enough to store  $O(1)$  randomly picked columns and  $O(1)$  randomly picked rows of  $A$ , but picked according to a certain probability distribution. Also, the columns and rows can be picked in two passes through  $A$ .

– [Drineas, Kannan](#), building on results of Frieze, K., Vempala.

$A$  any  $m \times n$  matrix.  $C$  an  $m \times s$  matrix of  $s$  random columns of  $A$  picked in i.i.d. trials; in each trial,

$$\text{Prob of picking column } j = \frac{\sum_i A_{ij}^2}{\sum_{k,l} A_{kl}^2}.$$

[Probabilities are proportional to length squared of the columns.] Similarly  $R$  -  $s \times n$  from  $s$  random rows of  $A$ .

**THEOREM** From  $C, R$ , we can compute a  $s \times s$  matrix  $U$  so that

$$E \left( \| (A - CUR) \|_{2 \rightarrow 2}^2 \right) \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{s}} \|A\|_F^2$$

$$E \left( \| (A - CUR) \|_F^2 \right) \leq \frac{4}{s^{1/4}} \|A\|_F^2 +$$

Best we can do with a rank  $\sqrt{s}$  matrix.



Achlioptas and McSherry's algorithm :

$p$  probability. Independently for each entry  $A_{ij}$  of matrix, replace it with  $A_{ij}/p$  with probability (w.p)  $p$  and 0 with probability  $1 - p$ . So, number of non-zero entries reduced by a factor of  $p$ .

$$\hat{A}_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{w.p. } 1 - p \\ A_{ij}/p & \text{w.p. } p. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 5 & 3 & 3 & -2 & -7 & 8 & 9 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & -17 & 1 & -8 & 9 \\ 21 & 41 & 22 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 10 & 6 & 0 & 0 & -14 & 16 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -16 & 18 \\ 0 & 0 & 44 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

If  $|A_{ij}| \leq 1$ , **WHP**,  $\|A - \hat{A}\|_2$  is small.